

- Sunday Aft - February 16, 2014

Welcome to The Common Game

More Players, More Play, Better Bridge!

[?](#) Explanation of report features

Download hands in PDF or PBN format (Right click and choose "Save Link As..." in Firefox or "Save Target As..." in IE)

Jump directly to board [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [11](#) [12](#) [13](#) [14](#) [15](#) [16](#) [17](#) [18](#) [19](#) [20](#) [21](#) [22](#) [23](#)
[24](#) [25](#) [26](#)

Field strength: Mean: 454
 MP Geomean: 399 MP
 (based on 26 players)

Show faces.

★ ★ ★ MASTERPOINT WINNERS ★



Partnership	Pct	Rank	MP
Alma Farley - Robert Clarke	59.17	1st A	1.06
Nancy Latner - Richard Langland	55.83	2nd A	0.74
Jack Schober - Jean Hoffman	55.00	1st C	0.83
Alma Johnson - Ann Sims	54.58	2nd C	0.58
Barry Cooper - Lynn Cooper	52.08	3rd C	0.42
Betsy Goldsmith - David Luzzatto	51.25	5th B	0.20

EVENT>Unit Champ 1500 Prs |SESSION>Sunday Aft |SECTION> E

 DATE>February 16, 2014 |CLUB NO.>260117 | 02/16/2014 17:19

 DIR> Vernon Hodge |RATING>Unit-wide Championship, CLUB>260117|MOVEMENT>ONE WINNER

 AVE> 60.0 |TOP> 5 |MP LIMITS>1500/750/500 |CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D

PAIRS IN STRAT A=13/B=12/C=8

Section				Section				
No	Name	Name	Flt	Rnk-A	Rnk-B	Rnk-C	Score	Pct
Awards								

1	Barry Cooper	Lynn Cooper	C	5	4	3	62.50	52.0
8	0.42(C)							
2	Alma Farley	Robert Clarke	B	1	1	.	71.00	59.1
7	1.06(A)							
3	Kay Moran	Carol Adamek	C	.	.	.	57.50	47.9
2								
4	David Luzzatto	Betsy Goldsmith	B	.	5	.	61.50	51.2
5	0.20(B)							
5	Billie Dalton	Delilah Perkinson	B	.	.	.	53.00	44.1
7								
6	Billee Brooks	Carolyn New	C	.	.	.	57.00	47.5
0								
7	Chris Anderson	Janet Folmar	B	.	.	.	60.00	50.0
0								
8	Richard Langland	Nancy Latner	A	2	.	.	67.00	55.8
3	0.74(A)							
9	Jack Schober	Jean Hoffman	C	3	2	1	66.00	55.0
0	0.83(C)							
10	Jon Wolkenstein	Sheri Wolkenstein	C	.	.	.	55.00	45.8
3								
12	Alma Johnson	Ann Sims	C	4	3	2	65.50	54.5
8	0.58(C)							
13	William Olds	Jerr Boschee	C	.	.	.	48.50	40.4
2								
14	Frank Durante	Diana Chen	C	.	.	.	55.50	46.2
5								
Totals							780.00	

1

♠AKJ82

Dir: North

♥-

Vul: None

♦A43

♣AT752

♠Q4

♠T765

♥983

♥AQJT2

♦JT852

♦97

♣J83

♣KQ

♠93

♥K7654

♦KQ6

♣964

16 Double Dummy Makes

4 12 NS: 5♣ 2♦ 3♠ 2NT ♥6

8 EW: ♣2 ♦5 ♥6 ♠3 NT4

LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1

Par: +400 5♣-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
420		4.50	0.50	4♠ N	♠K E2-Farley-Clark
e vs E6-Brooks-New					
420		4.50	0.50	4♠ N	♠K E4-Luzzatto-Gol
dsmith vs E9-Schober-Hoffman					
400		2.50	2.50	3N S	♥9 E1-Cooper-Coope
r vs E3-Moran-Adamek					
400		2.50	2.50	3N S	♦5 E13-Olds-Bosche
e vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein					
	50	0.50	4.50	3N S -1	♥9 E7-Anderson-Fol
mar vs E8-Langland-Latner					
	50	0.50	4.50	4♠ N -1	♦9 E5-Dalton-Perki
nson vs E12-Johnson-Sims					



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	1♠	2♥	Pass
Pass	Dbl	All pass	

The Bidding:

North has a balancing decision after East's 2♥ overcall of their 1♠ opening is passed around. North has a fine offensive hand with two five-card suits and a void. North's hand, with four quick tricks, will play well on defense. What decision did North make at your table?

A rebid of 3♣ does little to consult partner on the best contract. A balancing double offers diamonds and clubs as potential contracts, as well as giving partner a chance to make a belated spade raise. If partner has a heart stack and chooses to convert the balancing double to penalty, partner will not be disappointed with North's contributions to the defense. A balancing double seems best.

South hardly has a trump stack. The texture of South's 5-card heart suit leaves a lot to be desired. However, South does not have attractive alternatives. Bidding a minor at the 3-level could wind up playing in a 3-3 fit. Some pairs play a convention in this situation to help find the best minor suit fit. Even so, with 3-card support for both minors, competing to the 3-level in a minor is risky. Competing to 2♠ is a possibility. The spade fit is at least 5-2. South has two helpful diamond cards. There should be a reasonable chance to make 2♠. Converting the double for penalty is a possibility as well. Mel's suggests not converting the double. On this board, I would be swayed by the diamond honors and expect to force declarer in either hearts or diamonds. While a 2♠ bid is completely reasonable, my preference would be to convert the double for penalty.

Click for a poll on South's action over partner's reopening double. The poll will be available shortly after 5:00 p.m. EST.

The Lead:


On lead against 2♥ doubled, South has three good options. A trump lead will reduce dummy's ruffing power, potentially leaving declarer with more diamond and/or spade losers. A spade lead may help establish partner's spades and force declarer to ruff in the long hand, helping South gain trump control. A diamond lead may also serve to force declarer and helps establish the diamond suit. Partner should have 3 or more diamonds for their balancing double. My preference would be lead the ♦King, top of a sequence, or ♦Queen if playing leads.

The Play:

The defense has several routes to a two-trick set of 2♥ doubled. The defense has 5 quick tricks in spades and diamonds, and will eventually score the ♥King. A 7th trick can be established for the defense by preventing declarer from ruffing two spades in dummy,

or by positioning South to ruff a club. On a spade lead and continuation, South can discard clubs and later put partner in for a club ruff. If a diamond is led, a spade switch and continuation can allow South to discard clubs and later ruff a club.

If South leads a trump or switches to a trump after a diamond lead, declarer will have quite a dilemma. Declarer can picture the likely spade doubleton in South's hand. Declarer would like to win the initial heart lead cheaply with the ♥8 (or 9) in dummy and get rid of the ♥2 from hand. The danger is that when attempting to ruff two spades in dummy, South will ruff in front of dummy forcing the remaining high spot card, leaving only the ♥3 in dummy. Declarer would be unable to safely obtain their second spade ruff. So the play of the ♥3 is forced. Declarer will need to play spades to shorten dummy for the ruffs. North can put South in with a diamond to continue shortening dummy. South must take care not to get end played in hearts.

D	N dloeb	W	N	E	S
1	♠ AKJ82		1♠	2♥	P
	♥	P	X	P	P
	♦ A43	P			
	♣ A10752				
W dloeb		E dloeb			
♠ Q4		♠ 10765			
♥ 983		♥ AQJ102			
♦ J10852		♦ 97			
♣ J83		♣ KQ			
	S dloeb				
	♠ 93				
	♥ K7654				
	♦ KQ6				
	♣ 964				
		2♥x E	NS: 0	EW: 0	
Rewind	Previous	Next	Options	GIB	

2

♠T
♥A732
♦AQ16
♣KT97

Dlr: East
Vul: N-S

♠A543 ♠QJ7
♥985 ♥KT6
♦K98 ♦J753
♣643 ♣J85

♠K9862
♥QJ4
♦42
♣AQ2

13 Double Dummy Makes

7 8 NS: 4♣ 3♦ 5♥ 4♠ 4NT

12 EW: ♣2 ♦4 ♥2 ♠3 NT3

LoTT: 15 - 14 = +1

Par: +650 5♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
600		3.00	2.00	3N S	♥9 E1-Cooper-Coope r vs E3-Moran-Adamek
600		3.00	2.00	3N S	♥8 E7-Anderson-Fol mar vs E8-Langland-Latner
600		3.00	2.00	3N S	♥5 E4-Luzzatto-Gol dsmith vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
600		3.00	2.00	3N N	♥T E5-Dalton-Perki nson vs E12-Johnson-Sims
600		3.00	2.00	3N N	♦3 E2-Farley-Clark e vs E6-Brooks-New
120		0.00	5.00	1N N +1	♦3 E13-Olds-Bosche e vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
		Pass	1♠
Pass	2♣	Pass	2NT
Pass	3NT	All pass	

The Lead:

West does not have an attractive lead against 3NT. West knows dummy does not have spade support and does not have a 5-card red suit. So dummy will have game forcing values and will either have a club suit or a balanced hand. Declarer should not have a 4-card red suit on this auction and should not have a 6-card spade suit. West would like to hit partner's suit with their opening lead, but must guess whether partner's suit is hearts, or diamonds, or, a less likely, clubs.

My preference would be to lead a diamond because I can help establish the diamond suit. The downside of the diamond lead is that it can easily blow a trick for the defense.

Click for a poll on West's lead against 3NT. The poll will be available shortly after 5:00 p.m. EST.

The Play:

Declarer has 5 quick tricks in a notrump contract.. A fourth club trick can be developed on a 3-3, the Jack dropping, or a finesse. A low club to the Queen will likely get a true count from the defenders. The heart texture is not strong enough to develop tricks with a pushing finesse against the King. It is better to take a lobbing finesse towards the ♥Queen-Jack. The lobbing finesse creates two additional heart tricks when the ♥King is in East's hand. If hearts break 4-2, declarer will need two entries to dummy for the lobbing finesses, and another entry to dummy to cash the long club, and the ♥Ace.

On the lead of the ♦8, declarer has a choice. If the 8 is a 4th best lead, declarer can win three diamond tricks by finessing against the Jack and King. If the lead is from Jack third, declarer has only one diamond entry. If the lead is from King third, declarer has two diamond entries to dummy. Finessing against the King and Jack seems right. It gives a chances for three diamond tricks and acts as a play in diamonds.

If the play begins with a diamond to the Jack, a diamond continuation, and a lobbing finesse in hearts, declarer can give East a difficult discard decision. East cannot discard a heart or a diamond with out giving up a trick. So East must unguard the ♠Queen-Jack.

With the hearts and clubs breaking 3-3, declarers will have no trouble taking nine tricks. With the fortuitous lie of the spades, a double dummy line of play would be to attack spades for an overtrick.

3 ♠QT63 Dir: South
 ♥72 Vul: E-W
 ♦83
 ♣K9765

♠52 ♠97
 ♥KQ63 ♥A9
 ♦AT6 ♦KJ9742
 ♣AJ43 ♣QT8
 ♠AKJ84
 ♥JT854
 ♦Q5
 ♣2

5 Double Dummy Makes

14 10 NS: 2♠ ♣4 ♦2 ♥5 NT3

11 EW: 2♣ 4♦ 1♥ 2NT ♠5

LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0

Par: -130 4♦-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		5.00	0.00	5♦ E -1	♠A E3-Moran-Adamek
vs E7-Anderson-Folmar					
	50	3.50	1.50	3♠ S -1	♥K E2-Farley-Clark
e vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith					
	50	3.50	1.50	3♠ S -1	♥K E14-Durante-Che
n vs E12-Johnson-Sims					
	100	1.50	3.50	4♠ S -2	♦A E5-Dalton-Perki
nson vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein					
	100	1.50	3.50	3♠ S -2	♦A E6-Brooks-New v
s E13-Olds-Boschee					
	130	0.00	5.00	4♦ E	♠A E8-Langland-Lat
ner vs E9-Schober-Hoffman					



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
			1♠
Dbl	3♠ ¹	4♦	All pass
1. weak			

The Bidding:

With two five-card majors and 11 HCP, South's hand meets the for an opener. Over 1♠, West has the values and shape to make a takeout double. Over a Take Out Double, many partnerships play a 2NT as an invitational or better raise. This leave 3♠ free to use as a weak raise. With that understanding, North can make a bid of 3♠, showing 4-card or better support and a weak hand.

North's preemptive raise to 3♠ puts a lot of pressure on East. After a jump bid by your RHO, the mandates a 10 second pause to avoid communicating unauthorized information to partner with a quick action, and to give you and your LHO time to contemplate their action.

East should use the 10-second waiting period to assess the risks and rewards of competing over 3♠. West will have at least three diamonds for their takeout double, or West will have a hand too strong to overcall 2♣ or 2♥. With 10 HCP and a 6-card diamond suit, 4♦ will have some play. If partner's hand was too strong to overcall, a heart game or a club game are likely. If 3♠ makes, few matchpoints will be available to East-West. It is not clear that 3♠ down 1, doubled or not, will be a good board for East-West. Bidding 4♦ has a couple of ways to win: 4♦ may make, or 4♦ down 1 may be a good sacrifice against 3♠. 4♦ loses when it is doubled and set, when it down 2 for -200, and when both 4♦ and 3♠ are going down. Another way for 4♦ to win or lose is when partner raised to 5♦. Making 5♦ should be worth a lot of matchpoints. Down 1 is unlikely to score well.

Forcing opponents to make difficult decisions like this one is why playing a jump to 3 of a major over a takeout double as a preemptive raise is so popular. My guess with East's hand would be that more matchpoints are available by competing than by passing; and I would bid 4♦ when the 10 second waiting period expired.

West may be tempted to bid 5♦. The small doubleton spade holding is a big negative. West only has a of 7, and has a 3-card diamond suit. The 15 Support Points do not make up for the hand's negatives. A pass seems prudent.

Click here for a on West's action over 4♦. The poll will be available shortly after 5:00 p.m. EST.

The Play:

To prevent declarer from making an overtrick in a 4♦ contract, the defense must take their spade tricks immediately. If South cashes the ♠Ace and King and switches to their singleton club, declarer may well do down. Declarer has a potential loser in clubs and a potential loser in diamonds. With a nine-card trump fit, playing for the Queen to drop has a 58% chance of success. The club finesse has a 50% chance of success. Declarer would like to combine their chances make the contract when either the ♣King is onside or the ♦Queen drops. Taking the club finesse at tricks 3 costs only when South has a singleton club and North has the King. So taking the finesses is the percentage play.

On this board, the club finesse loses and North can set 4♦ by giving partner a ruff. The ♣2 cannot be from a doubleton. So declare has either a singleton club or a 3-card club suit. If declarer had a singleton, they would not be likely to duck the club lead. So North should continue clubs, giving partner a ruff, even if declarer false cards by dropping the Queen.

3 D	N dloeb	W	N	E	S
	♠ Q1063				1♠
	♥ 72	X	3♠	4♦	P
	♦ 83	P	P		
	♣ K9765				
W dloeb	E dloeb				
♠ 52	♠ 97				
♥ KQ63	♥ A9				
♦ A106	♦ KJ9742				
♣ AJ43	♣ Q108				
	S dloeb				
	♠ AKJ84				
	♥ J10854				
	♦ Q5				
	♣ 2				
		4♦ E	NS: 0	EW: 0	
<input type="button" value="Rewind"/> <input type="button" value="Previous"/> <input type="button" value="Next"/> <input type="button" value="Options"/> <input type="button" value="GIB"/> BBO 					

4 ♠KT Dlr: West
 ♡Q986 Vul: Both
 ♦JT75
 ♣A54

♠J943 ♠Q5
 ♡J732 ♡K54
 ♦A63 ♦9842
 ♣93 ♣KQ76

♠A8762

♡AT

♦KQ

♣JT82

10 Double Dummy Makes

6 10 NS: 3♣ 3♦ 2/3♥ 3♠ 3NT

14 EW: ♣4 ♦4 ♥4 ♠4 NT4

LoTT: 13 - 14 = -1

Par: +600 3NT-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
150		4.00	1.00	1N N +2	♦2 E6-Brooks-New v
					s E13-Olds-Boschee
150		4.00	1.00	1N N +2	♠K E14-Durante-Che
					n vs E12-Johnson-Sims
150		4.00	1.00	1N N +2	♣6 E2-Farley-Clark
					e vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
120		2.00	3.00	1N N +1	♦2 E5-Dalton-Perki
					nson vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
110		0.50	4.50	2♠ S	♥7 E8-Langland-Lat
					ner vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
110		0.50	4.50	2♠ S	♣9 E3-Moran-Adamek
					vs E7-Anderson-Folmar



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
Pass	Pass	Pass	1♠
Pass	1NT	Pass	2♣
Pass	2♠	All pass	

The Bidding:

At most tables, the bidding will pass around to South in 4th seat. South has a full opening 1♠ bid. North will respond 1NT. With a semi-balanced hand with the majority of the hand's strength in the red doubletons, some may choose to pass 1NT. A pass is a reasonable matchpoint gamble. Partner is a passed hand and could not support spades. There is no compelling reason to believe a club contract will score better than 1NT. The biggest risk of passing 1NT is that partner has a good red suit and is planning to offer 2♦ or 2♥ as a final contract. Your strong doubletons would provide good support. Since partner did not open a , it is less likely that playing in a red suit will score better than 1NT.

At most tables, North will choose to bid rebid 2♣, showing 5+ spades and 4+ clubs. Now North will have to decide between passing 2♣, potentially a 4-3 fit, or giving a preference to 2♠, a 5-2 fit (or better). With King doubleton support, a preference to 2♠ is very reasonable.

The Lead:


West has a difficult lead against 2♠. Holding trump length, a forcing lead is often best, but a lead from Jack empty fourth could easily blow a trick, and does not do much to wrest trump control from declarer. Leading away from the ♦Ace is unattractive. A trump lead could blow a trick, and a club lead may well finesse partner.

For a poll on West's opening lead against 2♠, click [here](#). The poll will be published shortly after 5 p.m. EST.

The Play:

In 2♠, Declarer has 4 quick tricks. If trumps split 3-3, declarer will have just one trump loser. With a 4-2 break, two trump losers are likely. Declarer's heart loser may be avoided if the defense breaks the heart suit. Declarer has one diamond loser. After knocking out the ♦Ace, three diamond winners are established. Declarer should note the blockage in the diamond suit, and manage entries accordingly. There are two potential losers in the club suit.

Declarer would prefer that East break the club suit.. Declarer will knock out the ♦Ace, and pitch losers on dummy's diamonds.

D 4	N dloeb	W N E S
	♠ K10 ♥ Q986 ♦ J1075 ♣ A54	P P P 1♠ P 1NT P 2♣ P 2♠ P P P
W dloeb		E dloeb
♠ J943 ♥ J732 ♦ A63 ♣ 93		♠ Q5 ♥ K54 ♦ 9842 ♣ KQ76
	S dloeb	
	♠ A8762 ♥ A10 ♦ KQ ♣ J1082	2♠ S NS: 0 EW: 0
[Rewind] [Previous] [Next] [Options] [GIB] 		

5 ♠K74 Dir: North
 ♥AKQ96 Vul: N-S
 ♦AQT
 ♣KQ

♠Q6532 ♠98
 ♥JT52 ♥843
 ♦3 ♦KJ98754
 ♣942 ♣7

♠AJT
 ♥7
 ♦62
 ♣AJT8653

23 Double Dummy Makes

3 4 NS: 7♣ 2/3♦ 6♥ 5♠ 7NT

10 EW: ♣0 ♦4 ♥1 ♠2 NT0

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: +2220 7NT-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
2220		5.00	0.00	7N N	♦8 E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
1470		3.50	1.50	6N N +1	♦9 E14-Durante-Chen vs E13-Olds-Boschee
1470		3.50	1.50	6N N +1	♦8 E7-Anderson-Folmar vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
720		2.00	3.00	3N N +4	♦9 E2-Farley-Clark vs E12-Johnson-Sims
680		1.00	4.00	4♥ N +2	♣7 E3-Moran-Adamek vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
640		0.00	5.00	5♣ N +2	♠9 E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith vs E8-Langland-Latner



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	2♣	3♦	Pass ¹
Pass	3NT	Pass	6NT

All pass

1. Game forcing

The Bidding:

With 23 HCP and a nice 5-card heart suit, North will open a strong, artificial and forcing 2♣. Over partner's anticipated 2♦ waiting bid, North has a choice between rebidding 2NT showing a strong balanced hand, or 2♥ showing a strong hand with five or more hearts. My preference would be to bid 2NT. In addition to 2NT well describing North's hand, it protects North's ♠King in a notrump contract.

On this board with favorable vulnerability, East will not allow North-South much bidding space to explore the best game or slam. East will preempt in diamonds. Given the broken suit, a 3♦ preempt looks about right.

South's 10 HCP hand with a 7-card club suit passes the for an opening hand. Opposite a 2♣ opener, slam potential is high. Has your partnership discussed how to handle interference after a ? Many play a double shows a weak hand, and a pass or bid establishes a . Using that treatment, I prefer a pass. An immediate 3♣ bid may make it difficult for partner to describe their hand. In particular, South is interested in knowing whether partner has a diamond stopper.

When 3♦ is passed around to North, North has the same options they contemplated earlier except one-level higher. North-South can destroy 3♦, but it is common to play a double by the 2♣ opener as takeout oriented. At this vulnerability, a 3NT rebid seems like the percentage action.

Continuations from here should be the same as the partnership uses after they overcall 3NT after a 3-level preempt. Most play 4♣ is Stayman, 4♦ and 4♥ are transfers, and 5♣ is . This leaves 4♠ to show clubs or some minor suit slam try. If you partnership has not discussed continuations on this auction, it is best to bid slam directly. South knows the partnership has 32+ HCP. With two bullets and a 7-card suit, a jump to 6♣ or 6NT is reasonable. However, if partner has the missing club honors you may well have all the tricks.

Partnerships playing Gerber can check for Aces, and check for Kings upon learning they have all the Aces. Missing a King, the bidding might settle in 6NT. Partnerships playing 4♠ as a relay, might use the relay and then bid 6♣ to express interest in a grand. With the ♠King-Queen, North can cue bid 6♦, showing first round

diamond control. Now when South shows their spade control, North may try 7NT. Bidding 6NT should score plenty of matchpoints.

The Play:

It will take declarer a few moments to count up their winners. If playing in a notrump contract, declarer can claim immediately. Playing a suit contract, declarer will have to wait to make sure third hand does not ruff the opening lead before claiming all the tricks.

6 ♠QJ4 Dir: East
 ♠K876 Vul: E-W
 ♠K97
 ♠K42

 ♠AK ♠87
 ♠9432 ♠AJT5
 ♠8654 ♠AQJT2
 ♠T73 ♠Q6

 ♠T96532
 ♠Q
 ♠3
 ♠AJ985

12 Double Dummy Makes
 7 14 NS: 3♣ 3♠ ♦3 ♥3 NT5
 7 EW: 4♦ 4♥ 2NT ♣4 ♠4
 LoTT: 19 - 18 = +1
 Par: -100 4♠*-NS/4♣*-NS-1

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
420		4.50	0.50	4♠ S	♠A E4-Luzzatto-Gol
dsmith vs E8-Langland-Latner					
420		4.50	0.50	4♠ S	♥2 E7-Anderson-Fol
mar vs E1-Cooper-Cooper					
200		3.00	2.00	5♦ E -2	♠T E2-Farley-Clark
e vs E12-Johnson-Sims					
170		2.00	3.00	3♠ S +1	♦8 E3-Moran-Adamek
vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson					
	50	1.00	4.00	4♠ S -1	♠A E9-Schober-Hoff
man vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein					
	130	0.00	5.00	4♦ E	♠T E14-Durante-Che
n vs E13-Olds-Boschee					

7 ♠T97 Dir: South
 ♥A743 Vul: Both
 ♦863
 ♣764

♠A ♠4
 ♥KQT6 ♥J852
 ♦AJT9 ♦KQ742
 ♣QJT8 ♣932

♠KQJ86532

♥9

♦5

♣AK5

4 Double Dummy Makes

17 6 NS: 4♠ 4♣ 4♦ 3♥ 3NT4

13 EW: 3♣ 3♦ 4♥ 3♠ NT6

LoTT: 19 - 20 = -1

Par: +200 5♥*-EW-1

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
790		4.50	0.50	4♠* S	♥K E8-Langland-Latner vs E2-Farley-Clarke
790		4.50	0.50	4♠* S	♠Q E3-Moran-Adamek vs E13-Olds-Boschee
620		2.50	2.50	4♠ S	♥K E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith vs E6-Brooks-New
620		2.50	2.50	4♠ S	♥K E14-Durante-Chen vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
	100	0.50	4.50	5♠ S -1	♦A E5-Dalton-Perkinson vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
	100	0.50	4.50	5♠ S -1	♠Q E7-Anderson-Folmar vs E12-Johnson-Sims

8 ♠J72 Dir: West
 ♥Q4 Vul: None
 ♦J7653
 ♣Q85

♠AT543 ♠Q8
 ♥986 ♥AJ53
 ♦KQ4 ♦9
 ♣T9 ♣AKJ762

♠K96

♥KT72

♦AT82

♣43

6 Double Dummy Makes

9 15 NS: 3♣ 6♦ 3♥3/4♠4 NT3

10 EW: 4♣ 1/-♦ 3♥ 3♠ 3NT

♦7/6

LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1

Par: -400 3NT-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
50		5.00	0.00	5♣ E -1	♦A E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith vs E6-Brooks-New
	100	4.00	1.00	3♦ N -2	♠A E3-Moran-Adamek vs E13-Olds-Boschee
	130	3.00	2.00	3♣ E +1	♠4 E14-Durante-Chen vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
	430	2.00	3.00	3N E +1	♦2 E7-Anderson-Folmar vs E12-Johnson-Sims
	460	1.00	4.00	3N W +2	♦5 E8-Langland-Latner vs E2-Farley-Clarke
	490	0.00	5.00	3N W +3	♦5 E5-Dalton-Perkinson vs E9-Schober-Hoffman

9 ♠KT62 Dlr: North
 ♥KQ6 Vul: E-W
 ♦T63
 ♣A62

♠QJ3 ♠A987
 ♥AJ2 ♥87543
 ♦QJ54 ♦K82
 ♣QJ9 ♣8
 ♠54
 ♥T9
 ♦A97
 ♣KT7543

12 Double Dummy Makes

14 7 NS: 1♣ ♦5 ♥5 ♠6/5 NT6

7 EW: 1/2♦ 2♥ 1♠ 1NT ♣5

LoTT: 15 - 17 = -2

Par: -110 2♥-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200		5.00	0.00	4♥ E -2	♠5 E5-Dalton-Perkinson vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
	50	3.50	1.50	3♣ N -1	♥7 E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E3-Moran-Adamek
	50	3.50	1.50	3♣ N -1	♥3 E8-Langland-Latner vs E13-Olds-Boschee
	100	1.50	3.50	3♣ N -2	♦2 E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
	100	1.50	3.50	3♣ N -2	♦2 E6-Brooks-New vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
	140	0.00	5.00	2♥ E +1	♠5 E14-Durante-Chen vs E2-Farley-Clarke

10 ♠AT6 Dlr: East
 ♥A985 Vul: Both
 ♦T2
 ♣7653

♠Q3 ♠K98752
 ♥QT32 ♥7
 ♦J763 ♦AQ4
 ♣KT8 ♣A92
 ♠J4
 ♥KJ64
 ♦K985
 ♣QJ4

8 Double Dummy Makes

8 13 NS: 1♥ ♣6 ♦5 ♠4/5 NT5

EW: 1/-♣ 2♦ 2♠ 1NT

11 ♣7/6 ♥6

LoTT: 15 - 16 = -1

Par: -110 2♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		5.00	0.00	3♠ E -1	♠Q E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E3-Moran-Adamek
	110	4.00	1.00	2♠ E	♥4 E5-Dalton-Perkinson vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
	140	2.50	2.50	2♠ E +1	♥4 E6-Brooks-New vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
	140	2.50	2.50	2♠ E +1	♠Q E8-Langland-Latner vs E13-Olds-Boschee
	170	1.00	4.00	2♠ E +2	♠J E14-Durante-Chen vs E2-Farley-Clarke
	200	0.00	5.00	3♥ N -2	♠7 E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith vs E1-Cooper-Cooper

11 ♠AT4 Dlr: South
♥7 Vul: None
♦A987632
♣J6

♠Q95 ♠K832
♥943 ♥KT86
♦4 ♦KT5
♣T98532 ♣Q4
♠J76
♥AQJ52
♦QJ
♣AK7

9 Double Dummy Makes

2 11 NS: 1♣ 5♦ 2/3♥ 2♠ 3NT

18 EW: ♣6 ♦1 ♥5/4 ♠5 NT4

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: +400 3NT-NS/5♦-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
430		5.00	0.00	3N N +1	♠2 E12-Johnson-Sims vs E13-Olds-Boschee
400		2.50	2.50	3N S	♠5 E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
400		2.50	2.50	5♦ N	♠2 E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
400		2.50	2.50	5♦ N	♠2 E14-Durante-Chen vs E3-Moran-Adamek
400		2.50	2.50	5♦ N	♣4 E6-Brooks-New vs E8-Langland-Latner
	100	0.00	5.00	3N N -2	♠4 E5-Dalton-Perkinson vs E2-Farley-Clarke

12 ♠AQJ95 Dlr: West
♥AQ643 Vul: N-S
♦94
♣7

♠KT74 ♠832
♥7 ♥KT
♦AQJ62 ♦875
♣985 ♣AJ643
♠6
♥J9852
♦KT3
♣KQT2

13 Double Dummy Makes

10 8 NS: 3♥ 2/-♠

♣6 ♦6/5 ♠8/6 NT6

9 EW: 1♣ 1♦ 1NT ♥4 ♠5

LoTT: 16 - 18 = -2

Par: +140 3♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
620		4.50	0.50	4♥ N	♦7 E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
620		4.50	0.50	4♥ N	♣4 E12-Johnson-Sims vs E13-Olds-Boschee
140		3.00	2.00	3♥ N	♦8 E14-Durante-Chen vs E3-Moran-Adamek
	100	1.00	4.00	4♥ N -1	♦8 E5-Dalton-Perkinson vs E2-Farley-Clarke
	100	1.00	4.00	4♥ N -1	♦7 E6-Brooks-New vs E8-Langland-Latner
	100	1.00	4.00	4♥ N -1	♦5 E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E1-Cooper-Cooper

13 **♠KT5** Dlr: North
♥976 Vul: Both
♦32
♣KJ863

♠A972 **♠QJ8643**
♥JT4 **♥852**
♦QJ54 **♦8**
♣AT **♣742**

♠-
♥AKQ3
♦AKT976
♣Q95

7 Double Dummy Makes

12 3 NS: 5♣ 4♦ 5♥ 1NT ♠6

18 EW: 1♠ ♣2 ♦3 ♥2 NT3

LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0

Par: +650 5♥-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
600		5.00	0.00	3N N	♠Q E7-Anderson-Folmar vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
200		4.00	1.00	2♥ S +3	♦4 E6-Brooks-New vs E3-Moran-Adamek
130		2.50	2.50	4♦ S	♠A E13-Olds-Boschee vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
130		2.50	2.50	4♦ S	♠A E14-Durante-Chen vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	100	1.00	4.00	4♥ S -1	♠A E8-Langland-Latner vs E12-Johnson-Sims
	200	0.00	5.00	3N N -2	♠6 E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein vs E2-Farley-Clarke

14 **♠J** Dlr: East
♥K4 Vul: None
♦KT532
♣KJ764

♠K94 **♠T8653**
♥T73 **♥8652**
♦J9864 **♦-**
♣85 **♣AQT9**

♠AQ72
♥AQJ9
♦AQ7
♣32

Double Dummy Makes

11 NS: 3♣ 4♦ 3/4♥ -1♠ 3/4NT

4 6 ♠6/7

19 EW: ♠4 ♦3 ♥3 ♣6 NT3

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: +430 4NT-S

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
430		4.00	1.00	3N S +1	♠4 E6-Brooks-New vs E3-Moran-Adamek
430		4.00	1.00	3N S +1	♥7 E8-Langland-Latner vs E12-Johnson-Sims
430		4.00	1.00	3N S +1	♥3 E7-Anderson-Folmar vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
110		2.00	3.00	3♣ S	♦9 E14-Durante-Chen vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	100	1.00	4.00	5♦ S -2	♠8 E13-Olds-Boschee vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
	150	0.00	5.00	5♣ N -3	♥8 E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein vs E2-Farley-Clarke

15 ♠QJ2 Dlr: South
♥T9 Vul: N-S
♦K7642
♣T62

♠973 ♠KT5
♥K864 ♥QJ732
♦Q985 ♦AT
♣73 ♣KJ4

♠A864
♥A5
♦J3
♣AQ985

6 Double Dummy Makes

5 14 NS: 3♣ 2♦ 2♠ 1NT ♥6

15 EW: 1♥ ♣4 ♦5 ♠5/4 NT6/4

LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1

Par: +110 2♠-NS/3♣-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
50		5.00	0.00	3♥ E -1	♦J E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E13-Olds-Boschee
140		3.50	1.50	3♥ E	♦J E1-Cooper-Coeper vs E2-Farley-Clarke
140		3.50	1.50	3♥ E	♦J E14-Durante-Chen vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
170		2.00	3.00	2♥ E +2	♦J E8-Langland-Latner vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
200		0.50	4.50	1N S -2	♥4 E7-Anderson-Folmar vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
200		0.50	4.50	4♣ S -2	♥4 E12-Johnson-Sims vs E6-Brooks-New

16 ♠T3 Dlr: West
♥T862 Vul: E-W
♦QJT2
♣964

♠AJ64 ♠Q9852
♥K97 ♥J5
♦654 ♦K3
♣AJT ♣KQ75

♠K7
♥AQ43
♦A987
♣832

3 Double Dummy Makes

13 11 NS: 1♥ ♣3 ♦6 ♠3 NT3

13 EW: 4♣ 1/-♦ 4♠ 4/2NT
♦7/6 ♥6

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: -630 4NT-W

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
170		5.00	0.00	3♠ E +1	♠8 E7-Anderson-Folmar vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
620		2.50	2.50	4♠ E	♥A E12-Johnson-Sims vs E6-Brooks-New
620		2.50	2.50	4♠ E	♠8 E8-Langland-Latner vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
620		2.50	2.50	4♠ E	♠8 E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E13-Olds-Boschee
620		2.50	2.50	4♠ E	♠2 E1-Cooper-Coeper vs E2-Farley-Clarke
650		0.00	5.00	4♠ E +1	♠3 E14-Durante-Chen vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson

17 ♠87642 Dlr: North
 ♥A63 Vul: None
 ♦AKT
 ♣73

♠A5 ♠KT9
 ♥J5 ♥T8742
 ♦QJ965 ♦2
 ♣QT96 ♣J852
 ♠QJ3
 ♥KQ9
 ♦8743
 ♣AK4

11 Double Dummy Makes

10 4 NS: 2♦ 2♥ 4♠ 3NT ♣6

15 EW: 1♣ ♦5 ♥5 ♠3 NT2

LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1

Par: +420 4♠-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
450		4.50	0.50	4♠ S +1	♦Q E8-Langland-Latner vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
450		4.50	0.50	4♠ S +1	♦Q E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
430		3.00	2.00	3N S +1	♣6 E13-Olds-Boschee vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
420		1.50	3.50	4♠ S	♦Q E14-Durante-Chen vs E6-Brooks-New
420		1.50	3.50	4♠ N	♥3 E12-Johnson-Sims vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
170		0.00	5.00	2♠ N +2	♦2 E2-Farley-Clark e vs E3-Moran-Adamek

18 ♠J972 Dlr: East
 ♥J942 Vul: N-S
 ♦987
 ♣52

♠KQ654 ♠A8
 ♥AQ ♥876
 ♦A42 ♦QJ63
 ♣J64 ♣K983
 ♠T3
 ♥KT53
 ♦KT5
 ♣AQT7

Double Dummy Makes

2 NS: ♠4 ♦4/3 ♥6 ♠4 NT4

16 10 EW: 3♣ 3♦ 1/-♥ 3♠ 2NT
 12 ♥7/6

LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0

Par: -140 3♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		4.50	0.50	3N W -2	♦9 E13-Olds-Boschee vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
100		4.50	0.50	3N E -2	♥3 E8-Langland-Latner vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
50		3.00	2.00	2N E -1	♥3 E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
100		2.00	3.00	2♥ N -1	♠A E2-Farley-Clark e vs E3-Moran-Adamek
150		1.00	4.00	2N E +1	♣7 E14-Durante-Chen vs E6-Brooks-New
400		0.00	5.00	3N W	♥2 E12-Johnson-Sims vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith

19 ♠KJ32 Dlr: South
 ♥T6 Vul: E-W
 ♦J9
 ♣AQJT7

♠96 ♠QT87
 ♥Q953 ♥8742
 ♦K54 ♦AT86
 ♣9652 ♣K
 ♠A54
 ♥AKJ
 ♦Q732
 ♣843

12 Double Dummy Makes

5 9 NS: 4♣ 2♦ 1♥ 3♠ 4/3NT

14 EW: 3♠ 4♥ 6♠ 4NT3

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: +430 4NT-N

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
400		4.00	1.00	3N S	♥3 E1-Cooper-Coeper vs E8-Langland-Latner
400		4.00	1.00	3N S	♥3 E3-Moran-Adamek vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
400		4.00	1.00	3N S	♥3 E14-Durante-Chen vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
	50	2.00	3.00	3N S -1	♠9 E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein vs E12-Johnson-Sims
	150	1.00	4.00	4♠ N -3	♥4 E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E6-Brooks-New
	200	0.00	5.00	4♠ N -4	♥7 E13-Olds-Boschee vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson

20 ♠QJ732 Dlr: West
 ♥652 Vul: Both
 ♦K2
 ♣QT8

♠A65 ♠K4
 ♥Q ♥AJ98
 ♦J863 ♦AT974
 ♣K6532 ♣97
 ♠T98
 ♥KT743
 ♦Q5
 ♣AJ4

8 Double Dummy Makes

10 12 NS: 3♠ 5♦ 1♥ 1♠ 2NT

10 EW: 3♣ 5♦ 1♥ 1♠ 2NT

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: -600 5♦-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	120	5.00	0.00	1N W +1	♠3 E14-Durante-Chen vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
	130	3.50	1.50	3♦ E +1	♥4 E9-Schober-Hoffman vs E6-Brooks-New
	130	3.50	1.50	2♦ E +2	♠T E13-Olds-Boschee vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
	150	1.00	4.00	1N W +2	♠3 E3-Moran-Adamek vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	150	1.00	4.00	3♦ E +2	♥4 E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein vs E12-Johnson-Sims
	150	1.00	4.00	3♦ E +2	♥3 E1-Cooper-Coeper vs E8-Langland-Latner

21 ♠T5 Dlr: North
 ♥KQT974 Vul: N-S
 ♦J2
 ♣A94
 ♠AQJ97 ♠832
 ♥AJ ♥853
 ♦A97 ♦Q84
 ♣K83 ♣JT52

♠K64

♥62

♦KT653

♣Q76

10 Double Dummy Makes

19 3 NS: 1/-♦ 2♥
 ♣6/5 ♦7/6 ♠5 NT6/5
 8 EW: 1♣ 2♠ ♦6 ♥5 NT6

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: -110 2♠-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
300		5.00	0.00	3N W -6	♥K E1-Cooper-Coope r vs E6-Brooks-New
100		4.00	1.00	2♠ W -2	♥K E12-Johnson-Sim s vs E3-Moran-Adamek
50		2.00	3.00	4♠ W -1	♥K E2-Farley-Clark e vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
50		2.00	3.00	3♠ W -1	♥K E14-Durante-Che n vs E8-Langland-Latner
50		2.00	3.00	2♠ W -1	♥K E4-Luzzatto-Gol dsmith vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
110		0.00	5.00	2♠ W	♥K E10-Wolkenstein -Wolkenstein vs E7-Anderson-Folmar

22 ♠QJ Dlr: East
 ♥KJ3 Vul: E-W
 ♦KT63
 ♣AK82
 ♠K53 ♠642
 ♥75 ♥QT9864
 ♦AJ4 ♦95
 ♣JT543 ♣97

♠AT987

♥A2

♦Q872

♣Q6

17 Double Dummy Makes

9 2 NS: 4♣ 5♦ 1♥ 4♠ 5NT
 12 EW: ♠3 ♦2 ♥6 ♠2 NT2

LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1

Par: +460 5NT-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
490		5.00	0.00	4N N +2	♥8 E4-Luzzatto-Gol dsmith vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
460		4.00	1.00	3N N +2	♥T E2-Farley-Clark e vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
430		2.50	2.50	3N N +1	♥T E1-Cooper-Coope r vs E6-Brooks-New
430		2.50	2.50	3N N +1	♥T E14-Durante-Che n vs E8-Langland-Latner
50		0.50	4.50	6N S -1	♣J E10-Wolkenstein -Wolkenstein vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
50		0.50	4.50	6♠ S -1	♦A E12-Johnson-Sim s vs E3-Moran-Adamek

23 ♠K6 Dlr: South
♥Q86 Vul: Both
♦6
♣AKJT984

♠AQJ ♠T98732
♥AJT7 ♥42
♦QJ843 ♦972
♣Q ♣72

♠54
♥K953
♦AKT5
♣653

13 Double Dummy Makes

17 0 NS: 5♣ 1♦ 2♥ 4NT ♠5

10 EW: 2♠ ♣2 ♦6 ♥4/5 NT2

LoTT: 19 - 19 = 0

Par: +630 4NT-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
630		5.00	0.00	3N N +1	♠6 E12-Johnson-Sims vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
150		3.00	2.00	4♣ N +1	♦7 E13-Olds-Boschee vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
150		3.00	2.00	4♣ N +1	♦2 E14-Durante-Chen vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
150		3.00	2.00	3♣ N +2	♦7 E3-Moran-Adamek vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
130		0.50	4.50	4♣ N	♦9 E2-Farley-Clark vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
130		0.50	4.50	3♣ N +1	♦7 E5-Dalton-Perkinson vs E6-Brooks-New

24 ♠952 Dlr: West
♥K87 Vul: None
♦J42
♣KT86

♠T74 ♠AKQ86
♥A5 ♥9632
♦AKQ8765 ♦3
♣A ♣QJ5

♠J3
♥QJT4
♦T9
♣97432

7 Double Dummy Makes

17 12 NS: ♣5 ♦0 ♥4 ♠0 NT0

4 EW: 2♣ 7♦ 3♥ 7♠ 7NT

LoTT: 18 - 17 = +1

Par: -1520 7NT-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	440	5.00	0.00	5♦ W +2	♠6 E5-Dalton-Perkinson vs E6-Brooks-New
	520	4.00	1.00	3N W +4	♠8 E3-Moran-Adamek vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
	1010	2.50	2.50	6♣ W +1	♥Q E2-Farley-Clark vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
	1010	2.50	2.50	6♣ E +1	♥Q E13-Olds-Boschee vs E4-Luzzatto-Goldsmith
	1020	1.00	4.00	6N W +1	♠7 E12-Johnson-Sims vs E1-Cooper-Cooper
	1510	0.00	5.00	7♠ E	♥Q E14-Durante-Chen vs E9-Schober-Hoffman

25 ♠8532 Dlr: North
♥QT7 Vul: E-W
♦AKJ6
♣A2

♠AT9 ♠KQJ64
♥KJ93 ♥A5
♦987 ♦T52
♣J74 ♣Q53

♠7
♥8642
♦Q43
♣KT986

14 Double Dummy Makes

9 12 NS: 2♣ 2♦ 1♥ ♠5 NT6

5 EW: 1♠ 1NT ♣5 ♦5 ♥5

LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0

Par: +90 2♦-NS/2♣-NS

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100	2.50	2.50	2♠ W -1	♦Q	E12-Johnson-Sims vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
100	2.50	2.50	2♠ W -1	♦3	E3-Moran-Adamek vs E8-Langland-Latner
100	2.50	2.50	2♠ E -1	♦3	E1-Cooper-Cooper vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
100	2.50	2.50	2♠ E -1	♦3	E6-Brooks-New vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
100	2.50	2.50	2♠ E -1	♦3	E13-Olds-Boschee vs E2-Farley-Clarke
100	2.50	2.50	2♠ E -1	♠T	E14-Durante-Chen vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein

26 ♠KJT7 Dlr: East
♥AJ5 Vul: Both
♦KQJ6
♣43

♠864 ♠AQ532
♥Q92 ♥763
♦952 ♦8
♣JT82 ♣AK75

♠9
♥KT84
♦AT743
♣Q96

15 Double Dummy Makes

3 13 NS: 1♣ 4♦ 4♥ 1♠ 3/4NT

9 EW: ♣6 ♦2 ♥3 ♠6 NT3

LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1

Par: +630 4NT-S

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
630	5.00	0.00	3N N +1	♠3	E12-Johnson-Sims vs E9-Schober-Hoffman
130	4.00	1.00	3♦ S +1	♠J	E13-Olds-Boschee vs E2-Farley-Clarke
120	3.00	2.00	2N N	♦8	E14-Durante-Chen vs E10-Wolkenstein-Wolkenstein
100	1.50	3.50	3N N -1	♠5	E1-Cooper-Cooper vs E5-Dalton-Perkinson
100	1.50	3.50	4♥ S -1	♠6	E6-Brooks-New vs E7-Anderson-Folmar
200	0.00	5.00	2N N -2	♠5	E3-Moran-Adamek vs E8-Langland-Latner